Economics and Computation (Spring 2025) Assignment #4

Due: 4/7/2025 11:59pm ET

Problem 1: Indivisible goods

[20 points] Recall that envy-freeness up to any good (EFX) is known to be feasible for up to three players with additive valuations, but it is an open problem if it is feasible for four or more players.

Assume, then, that the *n* players have additive, *identical* valuations, i.e., for all $g \in G$ and $i, j \in N$, $V_i(g) = V_j(g)$. Under this assumption, design a polynomial-time algorithm that computes an EFX allocation.

Problem 2: Online matching algorithms

[20 points] Consider a variant on the worst-case online matching model introduced in Slide 7 of Lecture 13. As before, an adversary constructs the set of vertices that will arrive, but the arrival order of these vertices is uniform at random. If the algorithm is deterministic, the "game" proceeds as follows: we announce the algorithm, the adversary constructs the n vertices in V (i.e., defines the edges incident on each vertex in V), and then a random permutation π of V determines the arrival order. The algorithm has competitive ratio α if $\mathbb{E}[ALG(G,\pi)]/OPT(G) \geq \alpha$ for every graph G, where the expectation is taken over the randomness of the permutation π .

Prove that, in this model, the competitive ratio of a deterministic algorithm must be at most 3/4.

Problem 3: Kidney exchange

[15 points] In the CYCLE COVER problem, we are given a directed graph and two integers k, t; we are asked whether it is possible to cover at least t vertices with disjoint cycles of length at most k. We stated in class (lecture 14, slide 6) that the CYCLE COVER problem is NP-hard when there is a given upper bound k on the length of cycles. Show that if there is no such upper bound then the problem can be solved in polynomial time.

Hint: You may rely on the fact that a maximum weight perfect matching in a bipartite graph can be computed in polynomial time.

Problem 4: Stable matching

[15 points] Prove that no bipartite matching mechanism with two-sided preferences is strategyproof (on both sides) and stable.

Guidance: Consider an instance with three students, three courses, and the following preferences:

s_1	s_2	s_3	
t_1	t_2	t_1	
t_2	t_1	$\mid t_2 \mid$	
t_3	t_3	$\mid t_3 \mid$	

t_1	t_2	t_3
s_2	s_1	s_1
s_1	s_2	s_2
s_3	s_3	s_3

There are two stable matchings; argue that in each them, one of the players can report a ranking leading to a unique stable matching that is (truly) better for them.

Problem 5: Formulate a research question

[30 points] Formulate a research question that is relevant to one of the topics covered in this assignment: indivisible goods, online matching algorithms, kidney exchange, and stable matching. Refer to this document for guidelines.

During the process of formulating your question, keep track of your findings in a "research journal." At a minimum, it should include brainstorming ideas for questions and notes on relevant papers that you have identified.

Please submit the following deliverables:

- 1. Your research question.
- 2. A brief explanation of why it satisfies each of the following criteria:
 - (a) Relevant: Which course topics is the question related to?
 - (b) Nontrivial: What is an immediate way of attempting to answer the question and why does it fail?
 - (c) Feasible: How would you tackle the question if you had the entire semester?
 - (d) Novel: List the 1–3 most closely related papers that you have identified in your literature review and explain how your question differs.

Note: Your writeup of all four parts of Item 2 must be at most two pages long overall.

3. Append your research journal to the PDF that contains your solutions. The research journal will not be graded; it is there to show your work.