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 FAIR DIVISION 3: RENT DIVISIONTEACHER:<br>ARIEL PROCACCIA

## STRATEGYPROOF CAKE CUTTING

- We discussed strategyproofness (SP) in voting
- All the cake cutting algorithms we discussed are not SP: agents can gain from manipulation
- Cut and choose: player 1 can manipulate
- Dubins-Spanier: shout later
- Assumption: agents report full valuations
- Deterministic EF and SP algs exist in some special cases, but they are rather involved [Chen et al. 2010]


## A RANDOMIZED ALGORITHM

- $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ is a perfect partition if $V_{i}\left(X_{j}\right)=1 / n$ for all $i, j$
- Algorithm
- Compute a perfect partition
- Draw a random permutation $\pi$ over $\{1, \ldots, n\}$
- Allocate to agent $i$ the piece $X_{\pi(i)}$
- Theorem [Chen et al. 2010; Mossel and Tamuz 2010]: the algorithm is SP in expectation and always produces an EF allocation
- Proof: if an agent lies the algorithm may compute a different partition, but for any partition:

$$
\sum_{j \in N} \frac{1}{n} V_{i}\left(X_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j \in N} V_{i}\left(X_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{n} ■
$$

## COMPUTING A PERFECT PARTITION

- Theorem [Alon, 1986]: a perfect partition always exists, needs polynomially many cuts
- Proof is nonconstructive
- Can find perfect partitions for special valuation functions


## A true story

- In 2001 I moved into an apartment in Jerusalem with Naomi and Nir
- One larger bedroom, two smaller bedrooms
- Naomi and I searched for the apartment, Nir was having fun in South America
- Nir's argument: I should have the large room because I had no say in choosing apartment - Made sense at the time!
- How to fairly divide the rent?


## SPERNER's LEMMA

- Triangle $T$ partitioned into elementary triangles
- Label vertices by $\{1,2,3\}$ using Sperner labeling:
- Main vertices are different
- Label of vertex on an edge $(i, j)$ of $T$ is $i$ or $j$
- Lemma: Any Sperner
 labeling contains at least one fully labeled elementary triangle


## PROOF OF LEMMA

- Doors are 12 edges
- Rooms are elementary triangles
- \#doors on the boundary of $T$ is odd
- Every room has $\leq 2$ doors; one door iff the room is 123


## PROOF OF LEMMA

- Start at door on boundary and walk through it
- Room is fully labeled or it has another door...
- No room visited twice
- Eventually walk into fully labeled room or back to boundary

- But \#doors on boundary is odd ■


## FAIR RENT DIVISION

- Assume there are three housemates A, B, C
- Goal is to divide rent so that each person wants different room
- Sum of prices for three rooms is 1
- Can represent possible partitions as triangle



## FAIR RENT DIVISION

- "Triangulate" and assign "ownership" of each vertex to each of $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and $\mathrm{C} \ldots$
- ... in a way that each elementary triangle is an ABC triangle



## FAIR RENT DIVISION

- Ask the owner of each vertex to tell us which room he prefers
- This gives a new labeling by $1,2,3$
- Assume that a person wants a free room if one is offered to him
- Choice of rooms on edges is constrained by the free room assumption
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- Sperner's lemma (variant): such a labeling must have a 123 triangle
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## FAIR RENT DIVISION

- Such a triangle is nothing but an approximately envy free allocation!
- By making the triangulation finer, we can increase accuracy
- In the limit we obtain a completely envy free allocation
- Same techniques generalize to more housemates [Su 1999]


## COMPUTATIONAL RESOURCES

- Setting: allocating multiple homogeneous resources to agents with different requirements
- Running example: shared cluster
- Assumption: agents have proportional demands for their resources (Leontief preferences)
- Example:
- Agent has requirement (2 CPU,1 RAM) for each copy of task
- Indifferent between allocations $(4,2)$ and $(5,2)$


## Model

- Set of players $N=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and set of resources $R,|R|=m$
- Demand of player $i$ is $\boldsymbol{d}_{i}=\left(d_{i 1}, \ldots, d_{i m}\right)$, $0<d_{i r} \leq 1 ; \exists r$ s.t. $d_{i r}=1$
- Allocation $\boldsymbol{A}_{i}=\left(A_{i 1}, \ldots, A_{i m}\right)$ where $A_{i r}$ is the fraction of $r$ allocated to $i$
- Preferences induced by the utility function

$$
u_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{i}\right)=\min _{r \in R} A_{i r} / d_{i r}
$$

## DOMINANT RESOURCE FAIRNESS

- Dominant resource of $i=r$ s.t. $d_{i r}=1$
- Dominant share of $i=A_{i r}$ for dominant $r$
- Mechanism: allocate proportionally to demands and equalize dominant shares


Agent 1 alloc.


Agent 2 alloc.


## FORMALLY...

- DRF finds $x$ and allocates to $i$ an $x d_{i r}$ fraction of resource r:

$$
\max x \text { s.t. } \forall r \in R, \sum_{i \in N} x \cdot d_{i r} \leq 1
$$

- Equivalently, $x=\frac{1}{\max _{r \in R} \sum_{i \in N} d_{i r}}$
- Example: $d_{11}=\frac{1}{2} ; d_{12}=1 ; d_{21}=1 ; d_{22}=\frac{1}{6}$ then $x=\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}+1}=\frac{2}{3}$


## AXIOMATIC PROPERTIES

- Pareto optimality (PO)
- Envy-freeness (EF)
- Proportionality (a.k.a. sharing incentives, individual rationality):

$$
\forall i \in N, u_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{i}\right) \geq u_{i}\left(\left(\frac{1}{n}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n}\right)\right)
$$

- Strategyproofness (SP)


## PROPERTIES OF DRF

- An allocation $\boldsymbol{A}_{i}$ is non-wasteful if $\exists x$ s.t. $A_{i r}=x d_{i r}$ for all $r$
- If $\boldsymbol{A}_{i}$ is non-wasteful and $u_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{i}\right)<u_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ then $A_{\text {ir }}<A_{i r}^{\prime}$ for all $r$
- Theorem [Ghodsi et al. 2011]: DRF is PO, EF, proportional, and SP


## PROOF OF THEOREM

- PO: obvious
- EF:
- Let $r$ be the dominant resource of $i$
- $A_{i r}=x \cdot d_{i r}=x \geq x \cdot d_{j r}=A_{j r}$
- Proportionality:
- For every $r, \sum_{i \in N} d_{i r} \leq n$
- Therefore, $x=\frac{1}{\max _{r} \sum_{i \in N} d_{i r}} \geq \frac{1}{n}$


## PROOF OF THEOREM

- Strategyproofness:
- $d_{j r}^{\prime}$ are the manipulated demands; $d_{j r}^{\prime}=d_{j r}$ for all $j \neq i$
- Allocation is $A_{j r}^{\prime}=x^{\prime} d_{j r}^{\prime}$
- If $x^{\prime} \leq x, r$ is the dominant resource of $i$, then $A_{i r}^{\prime}=x^{\prime} d_{i r}^{\prime} \leq x d_{i r}^{\prime} \leq x d_{i r}=A_{i r}$
- If $x^{\prime}>x$, let $r$ be the resource saturated by $\boldsymbol{A}\left(\sum_{j \in N} x d_{j r}=1\right)$, then
$A_{i r}=1-\sum_{j \neq i} A_{j r}=1-\sum_{j \neq i} x d_{j r}>1-\sum_{j \neq i} x^{\prime} d_{j r}=1-\sum_{j \neq i} A_{j r}^{\prime} \geq A_{i r}^{\prime}$

