CMU 15-896 Social Networks: Coordination games

TEACHERS: AVRIM BLUM ARIEL PROCACCIA (THIS TIME)

BACKGROUND

- We follow chapter 24 in the AGT book
- Spread of ideas and new behaviors through a population
- Examples:
 - Religious beliefs and political movements
 - Adoption of technological innovations
 - Success of new product
- Process starts with early adopters and spreads through the social network

NETWORKED COORDINATION GAMES

- Simple model for the diffusion of ideas and innovations
- Social network is undirected graph G = (V, E)
- Choice between old behavior A and new behavior B
- Parametrized by $q \in (0,1)$

NETWORKED COORDINATION GAMES

- Rewards for u and v when $(u, v) \in E$:
 - If both choose A, they receive q
 - If both choose B, they receive 1 q
 - $\circ \quad {\rm Otherwise \ both \ receive \ } 0$
- Overall payoff to v = sum of payoffs
- Denote $d_{v} = \text{degree of } v, \, d_{v}^{X} = \# \text{neighbors}$ playing X
- Payoff to v from choosing A is qd_v^A ; reward from choosing B is $(1-q)d_v^B$
- v adopts B if $d_v^B \ge q d_v \Rightarrow q$ is a threshold

CASCADING BEHAVIOR

- Each node simultaneously updates its behavior in discrete time steps t = 1, 2, ...
- Nodes in S initially adopt B
- $h_q(S) =$ set of nodes adopting B after one round
- $h_q^k(S) = after k$ rounds of updates
- Question: When does a small set of nodes convert the entire population?

CONTAGION THRESHOLD

- V is countably infinite and each d_{v} is finite
- v is converted by S if $\exists k$ s.t. $v \in h_q^k(S)$
- S is contagious if every node is converted
- It is easier to be contagious when q is small
- Contagion threshold of $G = \max q$ s.t. \exists finite contagious set

EXAMPLE



What is the contagion threshold of G?

EXAMPLE



Vote: What is the contagion threshold of G?

PROGRESSIVE PROCESSES

- Nonprogressive process: Nodes can switch from A to B or B to A
- Progressive process: Nodes can only switch from A to B
- As before, a node switches to B if a q fraction of its neighbors follow B
- $\bar{h}_q(S) = \text{set of nodes adopting } B$ in progressive process; define $\bar{h}_q^k(S)$ as before

PROGRESSIVE PROCESSES

- With progressive processes intuitively the contagion threshold should be lower
- Theorem [Morris, 2000]: For any graph G, \exists finite contagious set wrt $h_q \Leftrightarrow \exists$ finite contagious set wrt \bar{h}_q
- I.e., the contagion threshold is identical under both models
- We prove the theorem on the board

CONTAGION THRESHOLD $\leq 1/2$

- Saw a graph with contagion threshold 1/2
- Does there exist a graph with contagion threshold > 1/2?
- The previous theorem allows us to focus on the progressive case
- Theorem [Morris, 2000]: For any graph G, the contagion threshold $\leq 1/2$
- We prove the theorem on the board

More General Models

- Directed graphs to model asymmetric influence
- $N(v) = \{u \in V : (u, v) \in E\}$
- Assume progressive contagion
- Node is active if it adopts B; activated if switches from A to B

LINEAR THRESHOLD MODEL

- Nonnegative weight w_{uv} for each edge $(u, v) \in E$; $w_{uv} = 0$ otherwise
- Assume $\forall v \in V, \sum_{u} w_{uv} \leq 1$
- Each $v \in V$ has threshold θ_v
- v becomes active if

$$\sum_{\text{active } u} w_{uv} \geq \theta_v$$

GENERAL THRESHOLD MODEL

- Linear model assumes additive influences
 - Switch if two co-workers and three family members switch?
- v has a monotonic function $g_v(\cdot)$ defined on subsets $X \subseteq N(v)$
- v becomes activated if the activated subset $X \subseteq N(v)$ satisfies $g_v(X) \ge \theta_v$

THE CASCADE MODEL

- When $\exists (u, v) \in E$ s.t. u is active and v is not, u has one chance to activate v
- v has an incremental function $p_v(u, X) =$ probability that u activates v when Xhave tried and failed
- Special cases:

0

• Diminishing returns: $p_v(u, X) \ge p_v(u, Y)$ when $X \subseteq Y$

Independent cascade: $p_v(u, X) = p_{uv}$